

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,616	02/12/2001	Iwao Hatanaka	[CHA9-99-015]	9505
7590 07/18/2005			EXAMINER	
MICHAEL HOFFMAN			LUU, LE HIEN	
	ARNICK & D'ALESSAN	IDRO LLP	I DE LOUIS	
2 E COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/19/200	<i>c</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,616	HATANAKA, IWAO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le H. Luu	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02/12/2001</u> is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
	1					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Thomas and Commerce	(DTO 412)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2141

- 1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. As to claim 1, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite because the scope of the claim is unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). For purpose of examination, Examiner assumes applicant meant "can be".

Appropriate correction is required.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 2141

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 8. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Sayan et al. (Sayan) patent no. 6,477,569.
- 9. As to claim 1, Sayan teaches the invention as claimed, including a system for managing the use of resources in a system where a remote client uses resources at a server for a limited duration, the system comprising:

a stored log file listing of at least one resource being used at the server and the client using that resource (col. 5 lines 46-65; col. 7 lines 35-61);

a system which identifies whether the remote client is no longer using resources at the server, including determining whether the resources have been held by the remote client without use of the resources for a period longer than a preset threshold (Sayan, col. 12 line 35 – col. 14 line 20); and

in response to the system identifying that the client is no longer using resources at the server, a mechanism which removes the resources which had been used by the client when the remote client was connected to the server, whereby the resources being used by the client can be used by other clients after the client has disconnected from the server (Sayan, col. 12 line 35 – col. 14 line 20).

Art Unit: 2141

- 10. As to claim 2, Sayan teaches the system which identifies that a remote client is no longer using a resource at the server includes a mechanism for determining that the client is no longer connected to the server through a data transmission network (Sayan, col. 12 line 35 col. 14 line 20).
- 11. As to claim 3, Sayan teaches the system which identifies that a remote client is no longer using a resource at the server includes a system for determining that the program which uses the resource has terminated (Sayan, col. 12 line 35 col. 14 line 20).
- 12. As to claim 4, Sayan teaches the server maintains a listing of each of the clients using a resource associated with the server and the resources which are used by the respective client (col. 5 lines 46-65; col. 7 lines 35-61).
- 13. Claims 5-11 have similar limitations as claims 1-4; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,616 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER July 11, 2005